

**Remarks:**



The enclosed is a response to the PTO document dated 012/08/2005.

**Claim Objections**

Part 1. Claim 17 objection: bride has been deleted and bridge has been added.

**Drawings**

Part 3. The references to Fig. 6A have been deleted from the specification. Further investigation by the applicant revealed that the referenced exit ramps are to the outside of the freeway not into the median. The restaurants are built across the freeway however drivers exit to the right not to the left.

The applicant submits that Fig. 7 has previously been marked with "prior art" however a "replacement" drawing sheet has been enclosed herewith in the event that the prior submitted drawing did not have "prior art" marked on Fig. 7.

**Claim Rejections 103 USC**

Part 4 Thru 6.:

Independent claims have been amended to include the clause "said third road surface having a terminated end that is located within said median between said first road surface and said second road surface;"

All of the dependent claims have been amended to include the terminated end of the third road surface where appropriate.

The "Route 30 Interchange" "yellow" or "third road surface." does not have "a terminated end that is located within the median between the first road surface and the second road surface" The "Route 30 Interchange" "yellow" or "third road surface" passes under the first road surface and also passes under the second road surface.

The Lee patent 6,685,386 Fig. 5 and Fig 7 do not have "a terminated end that is located within the median between the first road surface and the second road surface. There are no terminated ends of any roadway surfaces in the medians between the road surfaces.

The applicant submits that all of the currently proposed claims are allowable.

Enclosed is a credit card form to pay for the one month extension of time. Please charge any additional fees that may be due at this time to the listed credit card.

Sincerely,

William Lindsey.

*W Lindsey* 2/07/06